

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,343	04/12/2001	Michael Barnes	005651/ETCH/CHMBR/JB1	2414	
7	590 12/05/2002				
APPLIED MATERIALS ,INC . 2881 SCOTT BLVD . M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			EXAMINER CROWELL, ANNA M		
			1763		
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002	Υ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					12
		Application No		Applicant(s)	"]
		09/834,343		BARNES ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Michelle Crowe	·II	1763	<u> </u>
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cove	er sheet with the o	orrespondence a	ddress
Period for	Reply				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutioply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how	wever, may a reply be tin inimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	April 2001 .			
1)⊠ 2a)⊟		his action is non-	final.		
2a)∟ 3)□	ois so this application is in condition for allow	vance except for	formal matters, p	rosecution as to	the merits is
,—	closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	r Ex parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are withdra	awn from conside	eration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-16 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.		
	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2002 is/are:	a)⊡ accepted or b) $igtie$ objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a	3).
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	oved b) disapp	roved by the Exam	niner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been re	eceived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been r	eceived in Applic	ation No	. •
_	Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PC) Ru	ne 17.2(a)).		nal Stage
400	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisio	nal application).
ĺ	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom and the foreign language and the foreign language becomes a claim for dom and the foreign language and the f	provisional appli	cation has been r	eceived.	
Attachme		, ,			
1) No	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(, 5)	Interview Sumn Notice of Inform Other:	nary (PTO-413) Pape nal Patent Application	r No(s) (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/834,343

Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 14-16, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass
 723R.
- II. Claims 11-13, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 569.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the method can be practiced on another apparatus, such as one that does not require RF energy (CVD, PVD).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Frank Bernstein on November 7, 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-10 and 14-16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11-13 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 09/834,343 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

- 6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "2" has been used to designate both lower plate and unknown part. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 7. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "9" has been used to designate both interior plenum chamber and unknown part. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 8. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: outer ring 8, attachment bolts 18, outer ring 21, attachment bolts 22, holes 24. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/834,343

Art Unit: 1763

9. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 6, 10, 12, and 13. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1, 8-9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frankel et al. (U.S. 6,019,848).

Referring to Figures 2, 4, 5 and column 24, lines 43-63, column 26, lines 30-38, and column 27, lines 24-63, Frankel discloses a CVD apparatus 10 comprising an enclosure assembly 200 (chamber), an upper plate 301, a lower plate 20, and mounting screws 303, 305 (plurality of pins) (col. 27, lines 28-29). The mounting screws 303, 305 connect and secure the plates 301, 20 to the inner lid assembly 230. Both plates 301, 20 include a plurality of gas dispersion holes 325, 315 to uniformly disperse process gases. The plates 301, 20 are made of aluminum (col. 27, line 32). Likewise, the outer lid assembly and the inner lid assembly 230 are made of aluminum (col. 24, lines 43-51). As seen in Figure 4, the inner lid assembly 230 is

Art Unit: 1763

disposed over plate 301. In addition, a chamber 317 (plenum chamber) is formed between the plates 301 and 20.

During plasma processing, a high voltage RF power is supplied to a RF feed/gas-through box (not shown) connected to passages 83, 85 (col. 26, lines 30-38). This RF power supply transfers RF energy through the plates 301, 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 2-4, 6,and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frankel et al. (U.S. 6,019,848) in view of Tomoyasu et al. (U.S. 5,888907).

Frankel fails to teach a dielectric cover.

Referring to Figures 1 and 4, and column 5, lines 44-58, Tomoyasu teaches a showerhead 30 including an electrode plate 40 (dielectric cover), a head body 42, and gas spouting holes 36, 38. Gas spouting holes 36, 38 are provided in the showerhead 30 to supply reactive and inactive gases. The electrode plate 40 is made of a conductive material such as silicon carbide or amorphous carbon (ceramic materials). The electrode plate 40 directs radicals to the wafer W. In addition, the electrode plate 40 is bonded to the head body 42. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the lower plate

Application/Control Number: 09/834,343

Art Unit: 1763

of Frankel with the electrode plate as taught by Tomoyasu. This would direct radicals to the wafer W.

14. Claims 5, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frankel et al. (U.S. 6,019,848) in view of Hillman (U.S. 5,997,649).

Frankel fails to show outer ring and O-rings.

Referring to Figure 1, column 7, lines 10-34, column 8, and lines 48-51,

Hillman shows a showerhead assembly 20 comprising a lower insulator plate 50 (outer ring).

The insulator plate 50 takes the form of an annular plate or ring (col. 7, lines 17-20). In addition, the insulator plate 50 is made of aluminum nitride (ceramic material) (col. 8, lines 48-51). The insulator plate 50 surrounds the showerhead 44 to prevent electrical contact between showerhead 44 and the chamber body 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the upper and lower plates of Frankel with the insulator ring as shown by Hillman. This would prevent electrical contact between the chamber wall and the upper and lower plates.

Referring to Figure 1 and column 8, lines 33-44, Hillman shows a plurality of O-ring seals 72 (first and second O-rings) and 74 are provided at various interface surfaces in order to maintain a seal between the showerhead and the insulator plates 50, 52. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the upper and lower plates of Frankel with the seals as shown by Hillman. This would prevent gases from escaping the chamber.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,343

Art Unit: 1763

15. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frankel et al. (U.S. 6,019,848) in view of Vukelic (U.S. 5,268,034).

Frankel fails to teach aluminum pins.

Referring to Figures 2a, 2b, 2c, column 4, lines 43-68, and column 7, lines 38-52, Vukelic teaches that it is well known to use aluminum pins 226 to connect an aluminum-perforated plate 224 (upper or lower plates) with a wall. Aluminum has good milling and manufacture properties with light weight and good temperature characteristics (col. 4, lines 23-27). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the screws of Frankel out of aluminum as taught by Vukelic. Aluminum has good milling and manufacture properties with light weight and good temperature characteristics.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Crowell whose telephone number is (703) 305-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 - 4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703) 308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

AMC December 2, 2002